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Abstract

Large Manufacturing companies seem largely to fail in the integration of LEAN, sustainable and scalable certified energy management systems. Too often manufacturing companies spend time and resources focused on the systematic elements of an energy management system, and not enough on energy performance improvement. Processes such as Energy Performance indicators (EnPI) development, energy reviews and internal audits are commonly flawed in their initial set up and unwieldy in their operation. This is sometimes due to a lack of data to inform effective decision making due to a dearth of energy and associated production and scheduling information to key stakeholders, or a lack of effective tools to streamline and standardise their analysis and operation. In a survey of three large manufacturing companies, it was found that 70 – 85 days were spent implementing some of the key aspects of a structured energy management system. This paper focuses on the early stage development and use of automated tools to support the implementation of key areas of an ISO 50001 compliant energy management system with a view to reducing this resource burden.

1. Introduction

Manufacturing processes use one or more physical mechanisms to transform a material’s form or shape utilising energy. The energy required for such operations is typically only partially transferred into a useful output (a machined part for example) with the remainder being transformed into product waste or residual heat during processing [1]. Based on 2014 figures, the manufacturing sector is a major consumer of energy worldwide with it accounting for circa 31% of primary energy use in total [2]. Worldwide industrial energy consumption was, in 2011, projected to grow to almost 72,000 ZW by 2030, this resulting in an average 1.4% per year increase [3]. One would assume that a manufacturing company’s energy consumption is directly related to product manufacture but in fact supporting systems such as heating ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), chilled water generation, compressed air generation and water treatment systems can consume over 50% of the energy utilised in a typical large industrial site [4]–[6].
In order to deliver energy efficiency in the manufacturing sector, three different approaches are broadly proposed; management led initiatives within companies, energy efficiency technology implementation and adherence to policies/regulations [3]. The purpose of an Energy Management System (EnMS) is to systematically integrate energy and carbon efficiency into an organisation and its implementation requires both financial and organisational resources [7]. The ISO 50001 EnMS standard was published in 2011, having been preceded by a number of national standards (e.g. Denmark: DS 2403:2001, Ireland: IS 393:2005, USA: ANSI/IMSE 2000:2008) as well as a European standard (EN 16001:2009) which ISO 50001 superseded and eventually replaced [7]. To date the research community has only begun to analyse the effectiveness of EnMS standards [8]–[11]. While the results of these studies indicate that an EnMS generally has a positive effect on carbon and ultimately economic performance, the effect is found to be stronger in the case of a certified energy management system [7].

1.1 Research Goal
Too often manufacturing companies spend time and resources focused on the systematic elements of an EnMS, and not enough on energy performance improvement. Processes such as Energy Performance indicators (EnPI) development, energy reviews and internal audits are flawed in their initial set up and unwieldy in their operation. This is sometimes due to a lack of data to inform effective decision making due to a dearth of energy and associated production and scheduling information to key stakeholders, or a lack of effective tools to streamline and standardise their analysis and operation. This paper hence focuses on the initial development and use of lean manufacturing based tools to support the implementation of structured energy management systems.

2. Tools to support ISO 50001 Implementation
Current research in the tool supported implementation and operation of the ISO 50001 EnMS standard seem focused on two distinct areas; the identification of the gaps to certification state of a company wishing to implement the standard and the assessment of the gap to exemplar performance of a company’s existing energy management system via a maturity model assessment [12], [13]. There seems to be however a dearth of research into the tools required to help companies deliver on the specific requirements of the standard in an automated, structured and repeatable manner. From a commercial perspective, companies have at their disposal a suite of tools and ancillary systems to leverage from in order to deliver each of the clause deliverables as set out in the ISO 50001 standard. Table 1 details a non-exhaustive list of currently available commercial or academic tools which state that they can fulfil the requirements of a certified EnMS.

Commercially and academically available tools seem focused on the legislative, document management, audit management and monitoring and targeting sections of an energy
management systems operation. The more involved and bespoke to site operations elements such as the energy review and base lining, energy performance indicator development, action plan development and measurement and verification processes are largely devoid of any commercially available supporting tools. As a means of analysing if indeed it is true that in practice large manufacturing companies do not have at their disposal a suite of ISO 50001 supporting tools, three large manufacturing sector companies were surveyed by structured interview on their supporting tool use. The result of this work is detailed in the next section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISO 50001 Clause</th>
<th>ISO 50001 Deliverable</th>
<th>Tool Purpose</th>
<th>Tools Available to support development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commitment Policy</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Enerit [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Performance Indicators</td>
<td>Identifying factors which affect the energy consumption of SEUs... Develop EnPIs for the continuous monitoring of SEU energy use taking energy factors into consideration should a statistically significant relationship exist.</td>
<td>Most M&amp;V packages claim this as part of their offering though no detail as to how it is achieved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement and verification</td>
<td>Development of M&amp;V strategies to ensure that savings projected and achieved by energy action plan projects are validated</td>
<td>Most M&amp;V packages claim this as part of their offering though no detail as to how it is achieved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives and Targets</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Enerit[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities &amp; Action Plans</td>
<td>Development of an organisation wide energy savings ideas register, where projects deemed most beneficial in terms of company specific criteria are continually progressed to action plans</td>
<td>Enerit[21]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Management</td>
<td>Manage EnMS documents in accordance with site procedures</td>
<td>Enerit[21]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Control including Procedures</td>
<td>Manage EnMS documents in accordance with site procedures</td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Efficient Design and Procurement</th>
<th>Ensure new equipment and processes are designed with energy efficiency in mind</th>
<th>Manual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal Audits</td>
<td>Checking and corrective action system to ensure EnMS effectiveness</td>
<td>Envirosaudit[36] MetricStream Internal Audit [37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-conformance tracking</td>
<td>Deviation tracking system</td>
<td>Envirosaudit[36]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Commercial and Academic tools available to support the delivery of ISO 50001

3. Case Studies of tools used to implement ISO 50001

Table 1 outlines many of the tools available to assist companies in the implementation of the differing requirements of an ISO 50001 EnMS. With a view to contextualising the use of these tools in practice, the same three manufacturing sector companies were surveyed by structured face to face interview in order to determine which tools they utilised to deliver these requirements. Two of the three companies are certified to ISO 50001 (at the time of writing) while one is six months into a twelve month roadmap towards achieving certification. The results from this analysis are displayed in Table 2. Each company representative was also surveyed to quantify the time spent operating their EnMS. In order to ensure interview responses were structured in terms of the results obtained, the EnMS was broken down into eleven constituent parts (as detailed in Figure 1). Each company representative was then interviewed to determine the quantity of time (to the nearest 5 day block) that they or another responsible person within the company spent on each of the eleven tasks. This was not a simple question and answer session as in some cases the company energy manager had to be interrogated a little to understand the exact process that they followed in achieving the EnMs task. For example, if one were to quantify the time spent developing energy performance indicators, the energy manager’s initial response typically only took their immediate actions into account and not the affect that that action had downstream of them in terms of the time spent by personnel reporting to them in delivering the same item.
It is clear from this work that support tools are utilised in the development of some of the key deliverables of ISO 500001 while in other areas support tools do not either exist or have been deemed ineffective when compared to the either manual or spreadsheet based work currently undertaken. As a case in point, monitoring and targeting systems and document management systems are utilised by two of the three companies analysed to fulfil the requirements of the ISO 50001 standard in terms of effective monitoring and measurement and document control. This is in stark contrast to the lack of use of a support tool in the review of energy performance and consequent base lining where none of the companies utilise a support tool, and instead use a mix of manual calculation, spreadsheet based assistance and external consultant reviews to fulfil this requirement.

Figure 1 details the estimated number of days spent in the development of processes to fill this support tool gap with a bespoke method of fulfilling the requirements of the ISO 50001 standard for that aspect of the system. Significant time is spent by company resources in the development of the energy review and base lining, EnPi’s, opportunities and action plans and M&V plans. In total the companies surveyed as part of this research spend between 70 and 85 days developing bespoke, highly manual, and in many cases not either easily replicable or cross site repeatable methods of fulfilling the requirements of the standard. Some of the reasons given by company personnel for the use of these company specific and thus bespoke manual methods of data analysis were the lack of available tools to undertake the analysis, the lack of available data in an automated manner to integrate with existing tools even if they did exist, and speed of analysis in terms of existing knowledgebase. In the opinion of the authors this bespoke method of is both time consuming and difficult to scale to other individuals in the same company or to sister sites in the same larger organisation. It is also data input heavy and thus potentially error laden due to its manual nature. An opportunity for improvement therefore exists to collect, analyse and document data in an easily and consumable manner utilising automated tools where possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISO 50001 Clause</th>
<th>ISO 50001 Deliverable</th>
<th>Dairy Processing Company</th>
<th>Pharmaceutical plant</th>
<th>Medical Device Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commitment</td>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Review</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Envirolaw</td>
<td>Envirolaw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives and Targets</td>
<td>Manual in house</td>
<td>Manual in house</td>
<td>Manual in house</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Tools utilised by three large manufacturing companies to support the implementation of ISO 50001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document and Direction</th>
<th>MS Sharepoint</th>
<th>DocSpace</th>
<th>Adaptiv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Checking</th>
<th>MS Excel</th>
<th>EFT</th>
<th>eSight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring &amp; Measurement</td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>Enviroaudit</td>
<td>Enviroaudit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Audits</td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>Enviroaudit</td>
<td>Enviroaudit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-conformance tracking</td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>Enviroaudit</td>
<td>Enviroaudit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review</th>
<th>MS Powerpoint</th>
<th>MS Powerpoint</th>
<th>MS Powerpoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Figure 1: Survey results of three large manufacturing companies detailing the resource days required to implement ISO 5001 deliverables

4. Development of tools to support ISO 5001 implementation

Based on the results of the survey companies displayed in Figure 1, four key areas were focused upon with a view to developing tools which could assist companies in fulfilling the requirements of each deliverable, namely the energy review, action plan development, M&V and EnPi analysis. This work has sought to standardise the methods by which companies seek to demonstrate compliance in each area, while also reducing the resource burden in the process. The ISO 50001 EnMS standard requires that companies carry out an energy review. In order to demonstrate that this has been adequately completed, companies typically either carry out in depth in house manual work to draft a document which details how each aspect has been fulfilled, or procure an external consultant to undertake this work if internal resources are either unavailable or without the required skillset. The result of this work is hence bespoke. It typically houses much superfluous information which is present in other aspects of the company’s operations. The authors have worked with many large companies in the development and implementation of EnMSs, and have reduced the burden of documentation with each implementation using
Lean principles. Lean Production originates from the Toyota Production System (TPS); a term coined by Womack et al. in 1990 [38] and is practiced widely today among large manufacturing companies. Much research has taken place in the application of lean manufacturing techniques to environmental performance improvement with lean tools demonstrated through study to be effective in this paradigm [39]. A significant link has also been made to the effective reduction of energy and waste when lean manufacturing principles are applied [40], [41]. By utilising existing systems and processes already in use within large manufacturing companies, the authors have successfully leveraged from the A3 problem solving framework typically used by lean experts to find the root cause of variations in process operations to develop the Energy Review A3 methodology detailed in Figure 3. This one page A3 sized output covers all aspects of the energy review clause in terms of the expected deliverables and leverages from existing systems. This method of documenting an energy review has been implemented within three companies to date in Ireland, each of which has been successfully externally certified.

Figure 2: Energy Review A3

The authors have also developed an A3 style output (Figure 3) to guide the end user through the identification of variables affecting energy performance process. This process leverages from LEAN manufacturing techniques by utilising the Ishikawa process. This process is utilised to identify potential causes of a specific event or variation and have been used successfully in this regard by other researchers[42], [43]. The authors then drew on statistical analysis in the form of linear regression analysis to determine if high level linkages existed between the identified variables and the significant energy user under analysis. Based on this analysis, variable linked EnPIs would hence be put forward for use in the everyday operation of the energy management system.
The authors have also developed an energy savings opportunities register which encompasses a rating and prioritisation method. This MS Excel based register once filtered, links directly to another worksheet within the MS Excel workbook where a programme of energy savings projects resides displayed in the form of a waterfall chart referenced against the site energy base line. This multi sheet MS excel workbook has been designed to update automatically once a new project is added and rated, thus allowing its continued and dynamic use. It also encompasses an measurement and verification sheet where the use is prompted to document the Measurement & Verification techniques employed for each project as well as the evidence of the results.
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The next step in streamlining these processes would be to migrate these tools to the web. Web based ISO 5001 support tools could either be directly connected to data sources or alternatively batch uploaded with the data for analysis. This would result in improvements over their current manual operation namely;

1) The time required to deliver the requirements of the standard would be significantly reduced due to the tools leveraging on existing company data
2) The analysis would be carried out by the software in a formalised and repeatable manner without the end user having to acquire knowledge to implement it
3) The web based roll out would ensure that group certification within one organisation would be consistent and auditable in an efficient manner

Based on survey feedback and with a view to developing a demonstration version of one of the tools, a data pipeline is currently under development. This will allow energy and related data to be collected for analysis and subsequently displayed via a dashboard in much the same format as illustrated in Figures 2 - 4. A data pipeline consists of sequential components, which are used to ingest, process, persist and analyse data. First, data is ingested in real-time using an appropriate connector. Second, the ingested data is passed to a cleaning and transformation process to prepare the data for aggregation. Third, the cleaned data is passed to an aggregator that produces the data views that are needed to fulfil ISO reporting and analysis requirements. Finally, the reports and accompanying analysis are automatically compiled and made available to decision-makers. In the context of ISO 50001, a data pipeline can provide an organised workflow for the transformation of disparate and heterogeneous raw data, to a meaningful and contextualised state. Figure 5 illustrates a proposed data pipeline which could potentially be used by these tools. At the top-level, a cloud-based repository is used to store site configuration and settings, such as the location and type of each data source pertaining to ISO 50001.

![Data Pipeline Illustration](image-url)

Figure 5: Data Pipeline Illustration
The proposed data pipeline provides a conceptual and modular framework that can be progressed further, and implemented using various well-known IT architectures, such as N-tier, Layer and Service-Oriented Architecture. As exhibited by this proposed data pipeline, it is necessary to consider the different concepts and workflows that are needed to develop an ISO 50001 information management system, before focusing on the implementation and low-level technology details. It is envisaged that this data pipeline will be utilised to test the operation of one of the aforementioned tools before expanding to the others. It is also planned to implement this tool as a supporting tool on one of the companies that took part in this research in parallel with their existing systems as a means to determining whether it is certification ready.

5. Conclusions and Next Steps
A significant opportunity exists to develop web-based tools to support the implementation of key deliverables of the ISO 50001 standard. Companies surveyed as part of this research spend in excess of 70 resource days undertaking just four key aspects of the management system. The repetitive and data-hungry nature of this work have already been templacised in MS excel and show great potential for a semi-automated web-based implementation.
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